## Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

$\boxtimes$	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	emental			
LRB	Number	03-0949/1		Introc	duction N	umber	AB-315	;			
Subjec	Subject										
Providi	Providing false information during handgun background check										
Fiscal	Fiscal Effect										
	No State Fisco Indeterminate Increase E Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing itions Existing	Rever Decre Rever	ease Existing	to	ncrease Cos o absorb with \tilde{\tii	hin agency	e possible 's budget \tag{\tag{No}}			
Local:  No Local Government Costs  Indeterminate  1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Districts  5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties Others School WTCS Districts											
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS											
Agenc	y/Prepared l	Ву	I	Authorized S	ignature			Date			
DOJ/ Vaughn Vance (608) 264-9463				Vaughn Vance (608) 264-9463				5/27/2003			

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOJ 5/27/2003

LRB Number 03-0949/1	Introduction Number AB-315	Estimate Type	Original
Subject			
Providing false information duri	ng handgun background check		

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Assembly Bill 315 would mandate the preceise form of the questions which may be asked on the Firearms Dealer Notification (DJ-LE-FH2) form. While the substantive information sought with these specific questions is already captured by the current form, passage of this legislation would require that the forms be redesigned and reprinted. Additionally, this legislation specifically prohibits the Department from asking certain questions on the forms.

The Department of Justice (DOJ) orders approximately 30,000 Firearms Dealer Notification (DJ-LE-FH2) forms per year at a cost of approximately \$1,230. DOJ estimates that the costs of redesigning the forms, if done in-house, would not exceed \$1,000.

COSTS
Redesign of forms \$1,000
Reprinting of forms 1,230

Total \$2,230

The legislation also creates a separate penalty for persons who falsely answer one of the specific questions enumerated in the bill. While there are potential increased costs associated with the prosecution of violations of this provision, The Department of Justice (DOJ) does not anticipate these costs to be substantially more than that incurred as a result of the cases currently prosecuted.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications